

Vol 04 - No 02 - March 2014

# Bone&JointScience

# Mechanical performance of the SUTUREFIX Ultra soft suture anchor for shoulder labral repair

#### Brett McKenzie<sup>1</sup>, Chris Moore<sup>2</sup>

1 Smith & Nephew, Inc., Mansfield, MA, USA 2 Smith & Nephew, Inc., Memphis, TN, USA



# Background

Arthroscopic repair of labrum tears is a successful alternative to open, more invasive surgical procedures.<sup>2,3</sup> This treatment often involves the use of hard suture anchors that enable firm bone fixation and closure of the tear.<sup>3</sup> These implants are designed to withstand the dynamic forces at the injury site, thereby re-stabilizing the joint and restoring function.<sup>3</sup> Standard hard anchors require significant preparation and bone removal at the insertion site.<sup>4</sup> In contrast, the utilization of soft anchors aids anchor placement due to less disruption of the native anatomy. This is especially helpful should subsequent revision be necessary.<sup>4</sup> However, there has been inherent doubt in the minds of some surgeons as to the performance of soft anchors, especially with respect to postoperative cyclic displacement. The purpose of the current study was to assess the mechanical performance of the newly developed SUTUREFIX Ultra (Smith & Nephew, Inc.) soft suture anchors (Figure 3) with respect to both fixation and cyclic performance, compared to two hard anchor designs currently marketed for labral repair.



# Methods

#### Test materials

- SUTUREFIX Ultra single loaded (one size #2 suture; N = 10) and double loaded (two size #1 sutures; N = 10) were compared to two controls in all tests:
- Arthrex SutureTak<sup>™</sup> hard anchor (N = 10)
- Mitek Gryphon<sup>™</sup> hard anchor (N = 10)

**Poor bone (15** lbs/ft<sup>3</sup>,**[240.3** kg/m<sup>3</sup> **]** ): Anchors were embedded into a 15 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) Sawbones<sup>™</sup> polyurethane bone block, simulating the softest expected decorticated bone for both hip and shoulder repair procedures.

**Cortical bone (30–15** lbs/ft<sup>3</sup> ,**[480.5–240.3** kg/m<sup>3</sup> ] ): A Sawbones polyurethane bone block bone block with a laminated 30 lbs/ft<sup>3</sup> [480.5 kg/m<sup>3</sup>] simulated cortical layer of 2mm thickness (representing moderate density) was also used. This was to test the optimization of fixation achieved by cortical engagement of the SUTUREFIX Ultra anchor versus traditional anchors.

Test set-up

#### - To assess fixation strength

Anchors were embedded into the bone blocks both with and without simulated cortical bone and all anchors were pulled to failure at 19.3 in/min [490.2 mm/min] for direct comparison.

# - To assess cyclic displacement

Cyclic load was also applied to all anchors (in cortical bone), simulating post-operative clinical loading (Figure 4):

- 3.37 lbf [15N] preload for 1 minute
- Cyclic loading from 3.37 lbf [15N] 13.49 lbf [60N] at 1 Hz for 500 cycles<sup>5</sup>

# Statistical analyses

Appropriate parametric (ANOVA, Fisher comparison) and non-parametric (Wilcoxin Mann-Whitney) statistics were used to compare groups. A 0.05 level of significance was used in all tests.



**Figure 4**: Anchor test set-up. A pneumatic suture grasper (a) pulls on the suture of anchors embedded in bone block with a 2cm gage length (b). The bone block is housed in a fixture to protect the simulated cortical layer (c).

# Results

 In the poor bone model without a simulated cortical layer, SUTUREFIX Ultra single and double loaded anchors demonstrated statistically significantly higher fixation strength than both the SutureTak<sup>™</sup> and Gryphon<sup>™</sup> hard anchors (p < 0.05; Figure 5).</li>

 Furthermore, there was a large increase in fixation strength for the SUTUREFIX Ultra anchors with the added laminate cortical layer. It achieved statistically significantly higher fixation strength than both control anchors (p < 0.05; Figure 6).</li>



Figure 5: Anchor fixation results for poor bone simulation. (15 pcf bone block)

Fixation strength metric unit equivalents: SUTUREFIX Ultra single loaded (65.88N); SUTUREFIX Ultra double loaded (61.30N); SutureTak (49.06N); Gryphon (56.76N).



Figure 6: Anchor fixation results for bone with a cortical layer of moderate density. (30-15 pcf bone block) Fixation strength metric unit equivalents: SUTUREFIX Ultra single loaded (168.81N);

SUTUREFIX Ultra double loaded (154.0N); SutureTak (62.14N); Gryphon (124.33N).



Figure 7: Displacement results after 500 cycles in cortical bone. (30-15 pcf bone block)

Suture displacement imperial unit equivalents: SUTUREFIX Ultra single loaded (0.019in); SUTUREFIX Ultra double loaded (0.016in); SutureTak (0.063in); Gryphon (0.069in).

- SUTUREFIX Ultra demonstrated the least amount of displacement following cyclic loading, statistically significantly lower than the control devices. (p < 0.05; Figure 7).</li>
- No failures were observed for SUTUREFIX Ultra.
- A total of six\* and three\*\* anchors (Figure 7) failed to reach 500 cycles before losing fixation for the Suture-Tak and

Gryphon suture anchors, respectively.

# Conclusion

Study results show that the SUTUREFIX Ultra soft anchor demonstrates significantly increased fixation strength and displacement performance when compared to two standard, hard suture anchors. These results are clinically promising, as soft anchors may be used during shoulder labral repair to reduce bone removal and aid anchor placement, attributes that could improve the likelihood of surgical success.<sup>4</sup>

#### References

- 1. Mechanical test data on file. Internal Smith & Nephew Research & Development Reports. 2013;Document Numbers: 15002059 and 15002227
- Diduch DR, Scanelli J, Tompkins M, Milewski MD, Carson E, Ma SY. Tissue anchor use in arthroscopic glenohumeral surgery. J Amer Acad Orthop Surg. 2012;20(7):459–471.
- Kelly BT, Weiland DE, Schenker ML, Philippon MJ. Arthroscopic labral repair in the hip: surgical technique and review of the literature. *Arthroscopy*. 2005;21(12):1496-1504.
- Mazzocca AD, Chowaniec D, Cote MP, et al. Biomechanical evaluation of classic solid and novel all-soft suture anchors for glenoid labral repair. *Arthroscopy.* May 2012;28(5):642–648.
- Barber FA, Coons DA, Ruiz-Suarez M. Cyclic load testing and ultimate failure strength of biodegradable glenoid anchors. *Arthroscopy*. 2008;24(2):224–228.

Disclaimer Great care has been taken to maintain the accuracy of the information contained in the publication. However, neither Smith & Nephew, nor the authors can be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of the information contained in this publication. The statements or opinions contained in editorials and articles in this journal are solely those of the authors thereof and not of Smith & Nephew. The products, procedures, and therapies described are only to be applied by certified and trained medical professionals in environments specially designed for such procedures. No suggested test or procedure should be carried out unless, in the reader's professional judgment, its risk is justified. Because of rapid advances in the medical sciences, we recommend that independent verification of diagnosis, drugs dosages, and operating methods should be made before any action is taken. Although all advertising material is expected to conform to ethical (medical) standards, inclusion in this publication does not constitute a guarantee or endorsement of the quality or value of such product or of the claims made of it by its manufacturer. Some of the products, names, instruments, treatments, logos, designs, etc. referred to in this journal are also protected by patents and trademarks or by other intellectual property protection laws even though specific reference to this fact is not always made in the text. Therefore, the appearance of a name, instrument, etc. without designation as proprietary is anytice and representation by the publisher shares consent, is illegal and liable to prosecution. This applies in particular to photostat reproduction, copying, scanning or duplication of any kind, translating, preparation of microfilms and electronic data processing and storage. Institutions' subscriptions allow to reproduce tables of content or prepare lists of articles including abstrates for internal circulation within the institutions. Permission of the publisher is required for resale or distri





Lit no: 01907 V1 03/14

Produced and published by the Scientific & Medical Affairs Department, Smith & Nephew Inc.

Published Mar 2014 Copyright © 2014 by Smith & Nephew Smith & Nephew Orthopaedics AG, Oberneuhofstrasse 10d, 6340 Baar, Switzerland

Certain marks Reg. US Pat. & TM Office. All Trademarks acknowledged.

Bone&JointScience is available on Smith & Nephew's Education and Evidence website, www.smith-nephew.com/education